

# The Audit Findings for London Borough of Islington Pension Fund

---

Year ended 31 March 2019

July 2019`



# Contents



## Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Paul Grady

Key Audit Partner

T: 020 7728 3196

E: Paul.D.Grady@uk.gt.com

Ade Oyerinde

Senior Manager

T: 020 7728 3332

E: Ade.O.Oyerinde@uk.gt.com

Marc Chang

Assistant Manager

T: 020 7728 3066

E: Marc.Chang@uk.gt.com

Lydia Smith

In-Charge Accountant

T: 020 7865 2476

E: Lydia.H.Smith@uk.gt.com

## Section

1. Headlines
2. Financial statements
3. Independence and ethics

## Page

- 3
- 4
- 11

## Appendices

- A. Audit adjustments
- B. Fees
- C. Audit Opinion

The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose all defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No. OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

---

# Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of the London Borough of Islington Pension ('the Pension Fund') and the preparation of the Pension Fund's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2019 for those charged with governance.

---

<b>Financial Statements</b>	<p>Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion, the Pension Fund's financial statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Pension Fund and its income and expenditure for the year; and</li><li>• have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.</li></ul>	<p>Our audit commenced on site in June 2019. Our findings to date are summarised on the following pages. We have identified, to date, that Level 3 Private Equity and Infrastructure investments had been misclassified as Level 2 investments for both current and prior year. Management have agreed to amend the financial statements.</p> <p>Audit adjustments are detailed in Appendix A.</p> <p>Our work is substantially complete and there are no matters of which we are aware of, from our work to date as at the time of writing, that would require modification of our audit opinion (Appendix C) or material changes to the financial statements. Our proposed opinion is subject to the satisfactory completion of the following outstanding matters;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- receipt and review of an updated actuarial valuation report, which will be taken into consideration for re-assessing the value of the Fund's promised retirement benefits for the purposes of IAS 26;</li><li>- receipt and review of one ISAE 3402 controls assurance report from one of the Fund's Investment Managers; and</li><li>- review of financial instrument disclosures.</li></ul> <p>Our work is subject to the following closing procedures which necessarily take place at the end of the audit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• final senior management and quality reviews and clearance of any queries that may arise from this final process;</li><li>• receipt and review of your management representation letter;</li><li>• receipt and review of the final set of amended approved financial statements; and</li><li>• receipt and review of the final Pension Fund Annual Report (the deadline for this is 1 December 2019)</li></ul> <p>Our anticipated audit report, subject to the satisfactory completion of the above, will be unqualified.</p>
-----------------------------	---	--

---

## Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team, management and other staff during our audit.

# Summary

## Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management and the Audit and Performance Committee.

As auditors we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

## Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Pension Fund's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Pension Fund's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls; and
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks.

We have not had to alter or change our audit plan, as communicated to you at the Audit Committee and Audit Committee Advisory on 11 March 2019.

## Conclusion

On nearing the completion of our audit of your financial statements and, subject to the satisfactory completion of outstanding matters and queries, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion, as detailed in Appendix C. We are unable to issue the audit opinion until we have completed our work on the Council's financial statements, the audit of which is in progress.

## Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

Materiality calculations remain the same as reported in our audit plan. We detail in the table below our determination of materiality for the London Borough of Islington Pension Fund.

### Pension Fund Amount (£)

Materiality for the financial statements	13,000,000
Performance materiality	9,100,000
Trivial matters	650,000

# Going concern

## Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management’s use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK) 570).

---

## Going concern commentary

### Management’s assessment process

Management have reviewed the Fund’s funding position and cash flows.

### Auditor commentary

- The Pension Fund has sufficient assets to meet its liabilities as they fall due over the next 12 months. Local Government Pension schemes are effectively underwritten by the tax payer with deficits financed by increased contributions agreed with the actuary that are financed through Council, Admitted and Scheduled bodies contributions.
- There is no plan by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government to wind up the London Borough of Islington Pension scheme.
- The Pension Fund continues to operate as usual in 2018/19. Contributions and investment income continue to be received as expected.

---

### Work performed

Detail audit work performed on management’s assessment

### Auditor commentary

- We have reviewed management’s assessment that the financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis.
- We are satisfied that there are sufficient assets to meet liabilities as they fall due. The last triennial actuarial valuation also demonstrated an improvement in the funding level to 78%.
- The Council pre-paid three year £26.8m deficit contributions into the pension fund in 2017/18, in line with actuarial advice, to cover the deficit to 2019/20. £8.9m of the pre-payment related to 2018/19.
- The fund continues to operate as usual.

---

### Concluding comments

### Auditor commentary

- We are satisfied that the Pension Fund Financial Statements are prepared on a Going Concern basis.

# Significant findings

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary
<p><b>1 Improper revenue recognition</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p>	<p><b>Auditor commentary</b></p> <p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the Pension Fund's revenue streams, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;</li> <li>• opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and</li> <li>• the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including the Council as the administering authority, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.</li> </ul> <p>Therefore we do not consider this to be a significant risk.</p>
<p><b>2 Management override of controls</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. The Fund face external scrutiny of its spending and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk for the Fund.</p>	<p><b>Auditor commentary</b></p> <p>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals</li> <li>• analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals</li> <li>• tested unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration</li> <li>• gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence</li> <li>• evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.</li> </ul> <p>Subject to the satisfactory completion of outstanding matters set out on page 3, we have not identified any material issues from our work at this stage that we need to report to you.</p>

# Significant findings

## Risks identified in our Audit Plan

### 3 Valuation of 'hard to value' Investments (Level 3 investments)

The Fund re-values its investments on an annual basis to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the fair value at the financial statements date.

By their nature, Level 3 investment valuations lack observable inputs. These valuations therefore represent a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved (£66 million) and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions

Under ISA 315 significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgemental matters. Level 3 investments by their very nature require a significant degree of judgement to reach an appropriate valuation at year end.

Management utilise the services of investment managers as valuation experts to estimate the fair value as at 31 March 2019.

We therefore identified valuation of Level 3 investments as a significant risk.

## Commentary

### Auditor commentary

We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:

- evaluated management's processes for valuing hard to value investments, gained an understanding of the Fund's process for valuing level 3 investments and evaluated the design of the associated controls
- reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investments to ensure that the requirements of the Code are met
- tested the valuation by obtaining and reviewing the audited accounts of the Private Equity and Infrastructure Funds at the 31 December 2018, and reconciled those valuations to the values at 31 March 2019 with reference to known movements in the intervening period
- reviewed the custodian and fund manager independent valuations of the Private Equity and Infrastructure Funds
- reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investment

We have identified that Level 3 Private Equity and Infrastructure investments had been misclassified as Level 2 investments for both current and prior year. Management have agreed to amend the financial statements.

Subject to the satisfactory completion of outstanding matters set out on page 3, we have not identified any other material issues from our work at this stage that we need to report to you.

# Significant findings – Other Issue

Issue	Commentary	Auditor View
<p><b>1 McCloud Judgement</b></p> <p>The Court of Appeal has ruled that there was age discrimination in the judges and firefighter's pension schemes, where transitional protections were given to scheme members</p> <p>The Government applied to the Supreme Court for permission to appeal this ruling, but this permission to appeal was unsuccessful. The case will now be remitted back to employment tribunal for remedy.</p> <p>The legal ruling around age discrimination (McCloud – Court of Appeal) has implications not just for pension funds, but also for other pension schemes who have implemented transitional arrangements on changing benefits.</p> <p>This ruling was made after the statement of accounts had been produced by the pensions team and submitted to us for audit.</p>	<p>Discussions are ongoing in the sector regarding the potential impact of the ruling and the application for appeal on the financial statements of Local government Schemes.</p> <p>The Pension Fund has requested an estimate from its actuary of the potential impact of the McCloud ruling on the disclosure of the IAS 26 Actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits in Note 15.</p>	<p>We have reviewed the analysis performed by the actuary, and consider that the approach that has been taken to arrive at this estimate is reasonable.</p> <p>Although we are of the view that there is sufficient evidence to indicate that any liability related to the McCloud judgement is probable, we will have to satisfy ourselves that there is not a risk of material error as a result of this issue.</p> <p>We also acknowledge the significant uncertainties relating to the estimation of the impact on the Council's liability.</p> <p>We have included this as an anticipated disclosure change within Appendix A.</p> <p>Subject to the satisfactory completion of outstanding matters set out on page 3, we have not identified any material issues from our work at this stage that we need to report to you. Our final conclusions are subject to the receipt and review of updated actuarial reports which take the implications of the McCloud judgement into account.</p>
<p><b>Guaranteed Minimum Pensions</b></p> <p>A separate ruling was also made surrounding Guaranteed Minimum Pensions (GMPs). For benefits earned from 17 May 1990, schemes have had to provide equal benefits and equal retirement ages for men and women, and the Government has indicated it believes members' pensions should be equalised for the effects of GMP accrued from that date.</p> <p>The 26 October 2018 Lloyds Bank court judgement has provided clarity going forwards regarding how this might be achieved, which may have an impact on pension liability calculations.</p>	<p>The Fund requested an estimate for the impact on the IAS26 disclosure with respect to Guaranteed Minimum Pension indexation. In their response, the actuary concluded that it is not appropriate for any additional provision to be included, and have not made any allowance in their calculations for any additional liabilities.</p> <p>The Fund has accepted this view and have not adjusted the IAS 26 disclosure in respect to this.</p>	<p>We have considered your actuary's assertion that the impact of GMP equalisation on your Pension Fund is not significant, and have compared this to the guidance issued by our auditor's expert, Pw C.</p> <p>We have concluded that it does not present a material issue on your Fund.</p>

# Significant findings - accounting policies

Accounting area	Summary of policy	Comments	Assessment
<b>Revenue recognition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Normal contributions, both from the members and from the employer, are accounted for on an accruals basis. Additional contributions are accounted for when received.</li> <li>Employer deficit funding contributions are accounted for on the dates on which they are due under the schedule of contributions set by the actuary, or on receipt if earlier than the due date. The Fund has accounted for the pre-paid deficit lump sum correctly over the three years, including for 2018/19 in line with the schedule set by the actuary.</li> <li>Employer's augmentation and pension strain contributions are accounted for in the period in which the liability arises.</li> <li>Individual transfers into the fund are accounted for on the basis of date received.</li> <li>Investment income arising from the underlying investment is accounted for on an accruals basis.</li> </ul>	<p>The revenue recognition policy is consistent with the Code of Practice of Local Authority Accounting. Management have followed the policy in accounting for the funds revenue streams.</p>	 Green
<b>Judgements and estimates</b>	<p>Key estimates and judgements include :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Valuation of level 3 investments</li> <li>The assumptions within the IAS 26 calculation of the present value of future retirement benefits</li> <li>The assumptions within the triennial valuation</li> </ul>	<p>The policies adopted for material accounting estimates appear to be appropriate under the Code of Practice of Local Authority Accounting.</p> <p>Our testing indicates that the material estimates included in the financial statements have been calculated based on reasonable judgements and assumptions from experts.</p> <p>The IAS 26 disclosure is to be updated for the updated actuarial report, re-assessed in light of the McCloud judgement.</p>	 Green
<b>Other critical policies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Pension Fund has adopted the standard accounting policies as set out in the Code.</li> </ul>	<p>We have reviewed the Pension Fund's policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice. The Pension Fund's accounting policies are appropriate and consistent with previous years.</p>	 Green

## Assessment

-  Marginal accounting policy which could potentially be open to challenge by regulators
-  Accounting policy appropriate but scope for improved disclosure
-  Accounting policy appropriate and disclosures sufficient

# Other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
① <b>Matters in relation to fraud</b>	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit and Performance Committee. We have not been made aware of any incidents in the period and no issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
② <b>Matters in relation to related parties</b>	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
③ <b>Matters in relation to laws and regulations</b>	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
④ <b>Written representations</b>	A letter of representation has been requested from the Pension Fund, which will be included in the July 29 Audit Committee and Audit Committee Advisory.
⑤ <b>Confirmation requests from third parties</b>	We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests to your custodian, fund managers and banks. This permission was granted and the requests were sent. All confirmations have been received.
⑥ <b>Disclosures</b>	Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
⑦ <b>Audit evidence and explanations/significant difficulties</b>	All information and explanations requested from management was provided.
⑧ <b>Matters on which we report by exception</b>	We are required to give a separate opinion for the Pension Fund Annual Report on whether the financial statements included therein are consistent with the audited financial statements. We are awaiting the draft Pension Fund Annual report to review. The Annual Report has a later deadline of 1 December 2019.

# Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in December 2017 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix C.

## **Audit and Non-audit services**

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Pension Fund. No audit and non audit related services were identified.

# Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management. There were no adjusted misstatements.

## Impact of unadjusted misstatements

There are no unadjusted misstatements identified as a result of our audit work to date.

## Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which management has agreed to amend in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure omission	Detail	Auditor recommendations	Adjustment agreed?
Disclosure	We have identified that Level 3 Private Equity and Infrastructure investments had been misclassified as Level 2 investments for both current and prior year. Management have decided to amend the financial statements.  £66.6m of Private Equity and Infrastructure Investments have been re-classified to Level 2 within 2018/19.	Management have agreed to make the required amendment	✓
Disclosure	The Fund have updated the disclosure for the value of the Fund's promised retirement benefits for the purposes of IAS 26, in light of the McCloud judgement.	Management have agreed to make the required amendment	✓
Disclosure	The required disclosure for emoluments of key management personnel for the Pension Fund was omitted from the draft financial statements.	Management have agreed to make the required amendment	✓
Disclosure	No disclosure was given as to the Fund's assessment of credit risk, interest rate risk or liquidity risk	Management have agreed to make the required amendment	✓
Disclosure	The disclosure of Employer's contributions in Note 2 did not separately disclose any deficit recovery contributions (£10.1m in 2018/19)	Management have agreed to show the split of deficit funding and normal contributions on the face of the Fund Account.	✓
Disclosure	A number of other minor changes have been made to disclosure notes and accounting policies throughout the financial statements to improve accuracy, clarity and understandability.	We discussed a number of suggested disclosure amendments with the Pensions Team. Management have agreed to make the required amendments.	✓

# Fees

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and confirm there were no fees for the provision of non audit services.

## Audit Fees

	Proposed fee	Final fee
Pension Fund Audit	16,170	16,170
<b>Total audit fees (excluding VAT)</b>	<b>£16,170</b>	<b>£16,170</b>

The fee of £16,170 agrees to the disclosed in the pension fund financial statements

There are no fees for non-audit or audited related services have been undertaken for the Pension Fund.

The proposed fees for the year were in line with the scale fee set by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA).

# Audit opinion

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an unmodified audit report. This is a draft version that will be updated.

## Independent auditor's report to the Members of the London Borough of Islington on the pension fund financial statements

### Opinion

We have audited the pension fund financial statements of the London Borough of Islington (the 'Authority') for the year ended 31 March 2019 which comprise the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement for the year ended 31 March 2019 and notes to the pension fund financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.

In our opinion the pension fund financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the pension fund during the year ended 31 March 2019 and of the amount and disposition at that date of the fund's assets and liabilities;
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

### Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report. We are independent of the pension fund of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the pension fund financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

### Who we are reporting to

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

### Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- the Section 151 Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the pension fund financial statements is not appropriate; or
- the Section 151 Officer has not disclosed in the pension fund financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the pension fund financial statements are authorised for issue.

### Other information

The Section 151 Officer is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Annual, the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement, other than the pension fund financial statements, our auditor's report thereon and our auditor's report on the Authority's financial statements. Our opinion on the pension fund financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

# Audit opinion

In connection with our audit of the pension fund financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the pension fund financial statements or our knowledge of the pension fund of the Authority obtained in the course of our work or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the pension fund financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

## **Opinion on other matter required by the Code of Audit Practice published by the National Audit Office on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice)**

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the pension fund financial statements the other information published together with the pension fund financial statements in the Annual Accounts, the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement for the financial year for which the pension fund financial statements are prepared is consistent with the pension fund financial statements.

## **Matters on which we are required to report by exception**

Under the Code of Audit Practice we are required to report to you if:

- we have reported a matter in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we have made a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we have exercised any other special powers of the auditor under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters.

## **Responsibilities of the Authority, the Section 151 Officer and Those Charged with Governance for the financial statements**

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities, the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Section 151 Officer. The Section 151 officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the pension fund financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19, which give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Section 151 Officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of pension fund financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the pension fund financial statements, the Section 151 Officer is responsible for assessing the pension fund's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the pension fund lacks funding for its continued existence or when policy decisions have been made that affect the services provided by the pension fund.

The Audit Committee and Audit Committee Advisory is Those Charged with Governance.

## **Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements**

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the pension fund financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these pension fund financial statements.

# Audit opinion

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the pension fund financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: [www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities](http://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities). This description forms part of our auditor's report.

xxxxxxxxxx

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Appointed Auditor

Grant Thornton UK LLP  
110 Bishopsgate  
London  
EC2N 4AY

Date xxxxxx



© 2019 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.